

	AWV		Water Audit S				٨	WA merican Water Work	S v5.0
•••		<u>Repo</u>	rting Workshee	<u>et</u>			P		S ASSOCIATIO
<ul> <li>Click to access definition</li> <li>Click to add a comment</li> </ul>	Water Audit Report for: Lo Reporting Year:	s Alamos ( 2023	County (NM3500115) 1/2023 - 12/2023					]	
	s below. Where available, metered values shoul nponent (n/a or 1-10) using the drop-down list to							n the accuracy of	
	All volumes	to be ente	red as: MILLION GAL	LONS (US) PER YEAR					_
	t the correct data grading for each input, de the utility meets or exceeds all criteria for the trian and the second s				Mas	ter Meter a	nd Supply	/ Error Adjustmer	nts
WATER SUPPLIED	· <u> </u>	•	-	in column 'E' and 'J'		Pcnt:		Value:	
	Volume from own sources: +	? 7	1,270.000		2				MG/Yr
	Water imported: + Water exported: +	? n/a ? 8	0.000 317.000				● O ● O		MG/Yr MG/Yr
						r negative	% or valu	e for under-regist	
	WATER SUPPLIED:		953.000	MG/Yr	Ente	er positive %	% or value	e for over-registra	tion
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION			700 700					ck here: ?	
	Billed metered: + Billed unmetered: +	? 8 ? n/a	798.760 0.000					help using option tons below	
	Unbilled metered: +	? n/a	0.000			Pcnt:		Value:	-
D	Unbilled unmetered: +	?	<u>11.913</u>			1.25%			MG/Yr
De	efault option selected for Unbilled unmet AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION:	ered - a gra	ading of 5 is applied a 810.673					e buttons to select	
	AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION.		010.073				pe	rcentage of water supplied <u>OR</u>	
WATER LOSSES (Water Supp	lied - Authorized Consumption)		142.328	MG/Yr					
Apparent Losses						Pcnt:	¥	Value:	-
	Unauthorized consumption: +			MG/Yr		0.25%	$\odot$ $\bigcirc$		MG/Yr
Default	option selected for unauthorized consun Customer metering inaccuracies:					2.00%		1	
	Systematic data handling errors: +	?	16.301 1.997	MG/Yr MG/Yr		2.00%			MG/Yr MG/Yr
Defa	ult option selected for Systematic data h		ors - a grading of 5 is	s applied but not display	ed				-
	Apparent Losses:	?	20.681	MG/Yr					
	D								
Real Losses (Current Annual Real Losse	<u>Real Losses or CARL)</u> s = Water Losses - Apparent Losses:	?	121.647	MG/Yr					
	WATER LOSSES:	—	142.328						
									-
NON-REVENUE WATER	NON-REVENUE WATER:	?	154.240	MG/Yr					
= Water Losses + Unbilled Metered	d + Unbilled Unmetered								_
SYSTEM DATA									
Number of a	Length of mains: +	? 8 ? 7	163.0 7,178	miles					
Number of <u>a</u>	Service connection density:	?	· · · · · ·	conn./mile main					
	located at the curbstop or property line?	2	Yes	(length of service I					
	Average length of customer service line: + th of customer service line has been set		a data grading score	boundary, that is the of 10 has been applied	he respo	onsibility of t	he utility)		
	Average operating pressure: +		65.0						
COST DATA									-
	l annual cost of operating water system: +	2 10	\$40 543 811	¢/Voor					

Total annual cost of operating water system:

Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):

•		•	10	ψ40,043,011	ø/ real
:	+	?	9	\$6.50	\$/1000 gallons (US)
:	+	?	5	\$505.02	\$/Million gallons  Use Customer Retail Unit Cost to value real losses

## WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE:

## \*\*\* YOUR SCORE IS: 72 out of 100 \*\*\*

## A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score

## PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION:

Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components:

1: Volume from own sources

2: Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses)

3: Unauthorized consumption

	AWWA Free Water Audit Software:	WAS v5.0
	System Attributes and Performance Indicators	American Water Works Association.
	Water Audit Report for:       Los Alamos County (NM3500115)         Reporting Year:       2023       1/2023 - 12/2023	
System Attributes:	*** YOUR WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE IS: 72 out of 100 ***	
<u>oystem Attributes.</u>	Apparent Losses: 20.681 MG/Yr	
	+ Real Losses: 121.647 MG/Yr	
	= Water Losses: 142.328 MG/Yr	
	Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL): 46.47 MG/Yr	
	Annual cost of Apparent Losses: \$134,424	
		mer Retail Unit Cost
	Return to Reporting Work	sheet to change this assumpiton
Performance Indicators:		
Financial:	Non-revenue water as percent by volume of Water Supplied: 16.2%	
	Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: 2.5% Real Losses valued	at Customer Retail Unit Cost
Г	Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 7.89 gallons/connection/da	ау
	Real Losses per service connection per day: 46.43 gallons/connection/da	ау
Operational Efficiency:	Real Losses per length of main per day*: N/A	
	Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: 0.71 gallons/connection/da	ay/psi
	From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 121.65 million gallons/year	
	Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]: 2.62	
* This performance indicator applies for	r systems with a low service connection density of less than 32 service connections/mile of pipeline	

	AWWA Free Water Audit Software: <u>User Comments</u>	WAS v5.0 American Water Works Association. Copyright © 2014, All Rights Reserved.
Use this works	neet to add comments or notes to explain how an input value was calculated, or to document the sources	of the information used.
General Comment:		

Audit Item	Comment
Volume from own sources:	Total Water Produced for all for 2023 divided by 1,000,000
error adjustment:	Additional meter accuracy data for production wells is needed to improve this value. Calculation only includes 2 of 12 production wells. Source: PureOps - Los Alamos County - Meter Testing Report 17.04 - C.PDF PureOps tested 21 meters in 2016, three of which were production wells (Otowi 1 and 4 and Pajarito 2). The Otowi Well 1 was highly inaccurate (only registering 29.8% of the flow) and therefore replaced. In order to not include an extreme outlier value, the remaining two values were averaged. (Value of all three = 76.9% vs. valueofjusttwo = 100 4%)
Water imported:	None (Los Alamos County has a contract with the United States Bureau of Reclamation for 1,200 acre-feet of water per year from the San Juan-Chama Project, but this water has not been brought online).
Water imported: master meter error adjustment:	Not applicable
Water exported:	Put the LANL water sale as exported water.
Water exported: master meter error adjustment:	Not applicable
Billed metered:	Total water sales, Kgal: total number added 12 months up and divided by 1,000
Billed unmetered:	None
Unbilled metered:	None
Unbilled unmetered:	Calculated

Audit Item	Comment
Unauthorized consumption:	
Customer metering inaccuracies:	No data (no customer meter testing was conducted in 2023).
Systematic data handling errors:	
Length of mains:	
Number of active AND inactive service connections:	Average of 12 months of billed locations: total units / locations
	Answer yes to question regarding whether customer meters are located at the curb. From email from James Alarid to Amy Ewing on October 9, 2017: "the vast majority are at the curb."
Average operating pressure:	From email from James Alarid to Amy Ewing: "Average system operating pressure is 65 psi."
Total annual cost of operating water system:	Total cost for Water Production + total cost for Water Distribution - Less: Interdept Water
Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses):	Los Alamos County Water Rate
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	Total Water Production Electric Bill divided by Volume from own sources.

		AWWA Fre	ee Water Audit Software		WAS v5.0
				Ameri	can Water Works Association
	Wa	ater Audit Report for:	Los Alamos County (NM3500115)		
		<b>Reporting Year:</b>	2023	1/2023 - 12/2023	
		Data Validity Score:	72		
	Water Exported 317.000			Billed Water Exported	
			Billed Authorized Consumption	Billed Metered Consumption (water exported is removed) 798.760	Revenue Water
Own Sources (Adjusted for known		Authorized Consumption	798.760	Billed Unmetered Consumption 0.000	798.760
errors)	810.673	810.673	Unbilled Authorized Consumption	Unbilled Metered Consumption 0.000	Non-Revenue Wate (NRW)
1,270.000			11.913	Unbilled Unmetered Consumption 11.913	
	Water Supplied 953.000			Unauthorized Consumption	154.240
			Apparent Losses 20.681	2.383 Customer Metering Inaccuracies 16.301	
		Water Losses		Systematic Data Handling Errors 1.997	
Water Imported		142.328	Deel Lassas	Leakage on Transmission and/or Distribution Mains	
0.000		Real Losses 121.647	Not broken down Leakage and Overflows at Utility's Storage Tanks Not broken down		
				Leakage on Service Connections <i>Not broken down</i>	

		AW	WA Free Water Audit <u>Dashboard</u>	Software:	WAS v5.0 American Water Works Association. Copyright © 2014, All Rights Reserved.
The graphic below is a visual rep Water Balance with bar heights volume of the audit co	propotional to the	Water Audit Report for: Lo Reporting Year: Data Validity Score:	s Alamos County (NM350011) 2023 1/2023 - 12/2023 72		<ul> <li>Show me the <u>VOLUME</u> of Non-Revenue Water</li> <li>Show me the <u>COST</u> of Non-Revenue Water</li> </ul>
100%					Total Cost of NRW =\$1,002,560           900,000           800,000           700,000           700,000           500,000           400,000           300,000           200,000
10% 0% Water Exported Water Imported	Water Exported Water Supplied	Water Exported Authorized Consumption Water Losses	<ul> <li>Water Exported</li> <li>Billed Auth. Cons.</li> <li>Unbilled Auth. Cons.</li> <li>Apparent Losses</li> </ul>	© Water Exported ■ Revenue Water ■ Non Revenue Water	100,000 0 Unbilled metered (valued at Cust.Ret.Unit Cost) Unbilled unmetered (valued at Cust.Ret.Unit Cost) Unauth. consumption Cust. metering inaccuracies
			Real Losses		<ul> <li>Syst. data handling errors</li> <li>Real Losses (valued at Cust.Ret.Unit Cost)</li> </ul>

				AWW	A Free Water Audit	t Software:	Grading Matrix		American Water \	Works Association. Cop	WAS 5.0 pyright © 2014, All Rights Reserved.
	Th	e grading assigned to each au	idit component and the corresp	oonding recomme	ended improvements and actio	ns are highlighted	in yellow. Audit accuracy is likely	y to be improved			
Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Volume from own sources:	Select this grading only if the water utility purchases/imports all of its water resources (i.e. has no sources of its own)	sources are metered, remaining	25% - 50% of treated water production sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of treated water production sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of treated water production sources are metered, <u>or</u> at least 90% of the source flow is derived from metered sources. Meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Procedures are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Volume from own Sources" component:		<u>to qualify for 2:</u> Organize and launch efforts to collect data for determining volume from own sources	to qualify for 4: Locate all water production sources field, launch meter accuracy testing begin to install meters on unmetere sources and replace any obsolete,	for existing meters, d water production	<u>to qualify for 6</u> Formalize annual meter accuracy meters; specify the frequency of installation of meters on unmetered w and complete replacement of all obs	testing for all source testing. Complete ater production sources	to qualify for 8: Conduct annual meter accuracy testing related instrumentation on all meter insta basis. Complete project to install new, existing, meters so that entire production metered. Repair or replace meters accuracy.	allations on a regular or replace defective n meter population is	to qualify for 10 Maintain annual meter accuracy test related instrumentation for all meter in replace meters outside of +/- 3% accur meter technology; pilot one or more innovative meters in attempt to fur accuracy.	ting and calibration of nstallations. Repair or uracy. Investigate new e replacements with	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.
Volume from own sources master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its sources of supply	Inventory information on meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined	No automatic datalogging of production volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls. Flows are not balanced across the water distribution system: tank/storage elevation changes are not employed in calculating the "Volume from own sources" component and archived flow data is adjusted only when grossly evident data error occurs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Production meter data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis with necessary corrections implemented. "Volume from own sources" tabulations include estimate of daily changes in tanks/storage facilities. Meter data is adjusted when gross data errors occur, or occasional meter testing deems this necessary.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly production meter data logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction is detected; and/or error is confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in calculating a balanced "Volume from own sources" component, and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on at least a weekly basis.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous production meter data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in "Volume from own sources" tabulations and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on a daily basis.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically balances flows from all sources and storages; results are reviewed each business day. Tight accountability controls ensure that all data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. Regular calibrations between SCADA and sources meters ensures minimal data transfer error.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Master meter and supply error adjustment" component:		to qualify for 2: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature.	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equipr meters. Complete installation of level tanks/storage facilities and include automatic calculation routine in a co Construct a computerized listing or sp input volumes, tank/storage volur import/export flows in order to deter "Water Supplied" volume for the distri procedure to review this data on a mo gross anomalies and dat	instrumentation at all tank level data in mputerized system. oreadsheet to archive me changes and mine the composite bution system. Set a onthly basis to detect	to qualify for 6 Refine computerized data collection hourly production meter data that is weekly basis to detect specific data Use daily net storage change to bala "Water Supplied" volume. Necessa errors are implemented on a	and archive to include reviewed at least on a anomalies and gaps. nce flows in calculating ary corrections to data	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Ensure that all flow data is collected and an hourly basis. All data is reviewed a corrected each business day. Tank/stor are employed in calculating balanced component. Adjust production meter of and inaccuracy confirmed by	and detected errors rage levels variations l "Water Supplied" data for gross error	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Link all production and tank/storage fa data to a Supervisory Control & Data System, or similar computerized mor and establish automatic flow balancing calibrate between SCADA and sou reviewed and corrected each	acility elevation change Acquisition (SCADA) hitoring/control system, algorithm and regularly rce meters. Data is	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters. Continue to replace or repair meters as they perform outside of desired accuracy limits. Stay abreast of new and more accurate water level instruments to better record tank/storage levels and archive the variations in storage volume. Keep current with SCADA and data management systems to ensure that archived data is well-managed and error free.
Water Imported:	Select n/a if the water utility's supply is exclusively from its own water resources (no bulk purchased/ imported water)	Less than 25% of imported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of imported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of imported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually for all meter installations. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi- annually for all meter installations, with less than 10% of accuracy tests found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Imported Volume" component: (Note: usually the water supplier selling the water - "the Exporter" - to the utility being audited is responsible to maintain the metering installation measuring the imported volume. The utility should coordinate carefully with the Exporter to ensure that adequate meter upkeep takes place and an accurate measure of the Water Imported volume is quantified.		to qualify for 2: Review bulk water purchase agreements with partner suppliers; confirm requirements for use and maintenance of accurate metering. Identify needs for new or replacement meters with goal to meter all imported water sources.	<u>To qualify for 4</u> : Locate all imported water sources on launch meter accuracy testing for exis install meters on unmetered in interconnections and replace obsole	ting meters, begin to		esting for all imported gular meter accuracy ed instrumentation. netered imported water	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Complete project to install new, or repla on all imported water interconnections meter accuracy testing for all imported conduct calibration of related instrum annually. Repair or replace meters of accuracy.	s. Maintain annual d water meters and nentation at least	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Conduct meter accuracy testing for annual basis, along with calibra instrumentation. Repair or replace m accuracy. Investigate new meter techr replacements with innovative meters meter accuracy	all meters on a semi- tion of all related eters outside of +/- 3% hology; pilot one or more in attempt to improve	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Continue to conduct calibration of related instrumentation on a semi-annual basis. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6
Water imported master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a if the Imported water supply is unmetered, with Imported water quantities estimated on the billing invoices sent by the Exporter to the purchasing Utility.		No automatic datalogging of imported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to confirm data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis by the Exporter with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the Exporter when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roles for meter accuracy testing and data management.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly Imported supp is logged automaticall at least a weekly basis Data is adjusted to co when meter/instrumen malfunction is detected for error confirmed by testing. Any data gap data are detected and the weekly review. A trail exists for this pro both the selling and t Utility.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water imported master meter and supply error adjustment" component:		to qualify for 2: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the selling and purchasing Utility.	Install automatic datalogging equip supply meters. Set a procedure to monthly basis to detect gross anom Launch discussions with the Export	review this data on a alies and data gaps. ers to jointly review rding meter accuracy	<u>to qualify for 6</u> Refine computerized data collection hourly Imported supply metered flow least on a weekly basis to detect spec gaps. Make necessary corrections to weekly basis.	and archive to include data that is reviewed at ific data anomalies and	Ensure that all Imp collected and archived reviewed and errors/d
Water Exported:	Select n/a if the water utility sells no bulk water to neighboring water utilities (no exported water sales)	Less than 25% of exported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of exported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of exported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of ex sources are metered, testing and/or electro conducted annually. L tested meters are four 6% accura
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Exported Volume" component: (Note: usually, if the water utility being audited sells (Exports) water to a neighboring purchasing Utility, it is the responsibility of the utility exporting the water to maintain the metering installation measuring the Exported volume. The utility exporting the water should ensure that adequate meter upkeep takes place and an accurate measure of the Water Exported volume is quantified.)		<u>to qualify for 2:</u> Review bulk water sales agreements with purchasing utilities; confirm requirements for use & upkeep of accurate metering. Identify needs to install new, or replace defective meters as needed.	Locate all exported water sources of launch meter accuracy testing for exist	n maps and in field, sting meters, begin to exported water	<u>to qualify for 6</u> Formalize annual meter accuracy te water meters. Continue installation o exported water interconnections a obsolete/defective m	esting for all exported f meters on unmetered and replacement of	Complete project to in on all exported wate meter accuracy testing or replace mete
Water exported master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its exported supply interconnections.	Inventory information on exported meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined Written agreement(s) with the utility purchasing the water are missing or written in vague language concerning meter management and testing.	No automatic datalogging of exported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to confirm data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Exported metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis, with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the utility selling (exporting) the water when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the utility exporting the water and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roles for meter accuracy testing and data management.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly exported supply logged automatically & least a weekly basis by the water. Data is adj gross error meter/instrumentati malfunction is detected for error found by m testing. Any data gap data are detected and the weekly review. A trail exists for this pro both the selling (expo the purchasing

	7	8	9	10	
ply metered data lly & reviewed on s by the Exporter. orrect gross error ntation equipment ed; and to correct y meter accuracy os in the archived d corrected during A coherent data ocess to protect the purchasing /.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the Importer. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility.		Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the Exporter. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.	
	tered flow data is urly basis. All data is ected each business	to qualify for 10 Conduct accountability checks to cor supply metered data is reviewed and co day by the Exporter. Results of all me data corrections should be available for Exporter and the purchasing Utility. Es regular review and updating of the cont written agreement between the sellin Utility; at least every five	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters; work with the Exporter to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with Exporters open and maintain productive relations. Keep the written agreement current with clear and explicit language that meets the ongoing needs of all parties.		
xported water , meter accuracy ronic calibration Less than 25% of und outside of +/- racy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi- annually for all meter installations, with less than 10% of accuracy tests found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.	
er interconnection	ace defective, meters s. Maintain annual vater meters. Repair 6% accuracy.	<u>to qualify for 10:</u> Maintain annual meter accuracy testing or replace meters outside of +/- 3% acc meter technology; pilot one or more innovative meters in attempt to impr	for all meters. Repair curacy. Investigate new replacements with	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.	
ly metered data is & reviewed on at by the utility selling djusted to correct r when tion equipment ed; and to correct meter accuracy os in the archived d corrected during A coherent data ocess to protect orting) utility and ng Utility.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous exported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and any error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling (exporting) Utility and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling Utility and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.	

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water exported master meter and supply error adjustment" component:		to qualify for 2: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the utility selling (exporting) the water and the purchasing Utility.	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equipme meters. Set a procedure to review th basis to detect gross anomalies and discussions with the purchasing utili terms of the written agreements rega testing and data management; re necessary.	his data on a monthly data gaps. Launch ities to jointly review urding meter accuracy	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Refine computerized data collection hourly exported supply metered flow o least on a weekly basis to detect spec gaps. Make necessary corrections to weekly basis.	and archive to include data that is reviewed at ific data anomalies and	
					AUTHORIZED CO	NSUMPTION	
Billed metered:	n/a (not applicable). Select n/a only if the entire customer population is not metered and is billed for water service on a flat or fixed rate basis. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Less than 50% of customers with volume-based billings from meter readings; flat or fixed rate billing exists for the majority of the customer population	At least 50% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; flat rate billing for others. Manual meter reading is conducted, with less than 50% meter read success rate, remaining accounts' consumption is estimated. Limited meter records, no regular meter testing or replacement. Billing data maintained on paper records, with no auditing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	At least 75% of customers with volume-based, billing from meter reads; flat or fixed rate billing for remaining accounts. Manual meter reading is conducted with at least 50% meter read success rate; consumption for accounts with failed reads is estimated. Purchase records verify age of customer meters; only very limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Customer meters are replaced only upon complete failure. Computerized billing records exist, but only sporadic internal auditing conducted.		At least 90% of custom based billing from n consumption for remain estimated. Manual cu reading gives at least meter reading suc consumption for accou reads is estimated. G meter records exist, b meter accuracy testing Regular replacement is the oldest meters. C billing records exist with of summary statistics utility persor
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Billed Metered Consumption" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider establishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Conduct investigations or trials of customer meters to select appropriate meter models. Budget funding for meter installations. Investigate volume based water rate structures.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Purchase and install meters on un Implement policies to improve mete Catalog meter information during r identify age/model of existing mete number of meters for accuracy. Insta system.	er reading success. meter read visits to ers. Test a minimal			portion or entire syst
Billed unmetered:	Select n/a if it is the policy of the water utility to meter all customer connections and it has been confirmed by detailed auditing that all customers do indeed have a water meter; i.e. no intentionally unmetered accounts exist	Water utility policy does <u>not</u> require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billing is employed. No data is collected on customer consumption. The only estimates of customer population consumption available are derived from data estimation methods using average fixture count multiplied by number of connections, or similar approach.	Water utility policy does <u>not</u> require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billing is employed. Some metered accounts exist in parts of the system (pilot areas or District Metered Areas) with consumption read periodically or recorded on portable dataloggers over one, three, or seven day periods. Data from these sample meters are used to infer consumption for the total customer population. Site specific estimation methods are used for unusual buildings/water uses.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing in general. However, a liberal amount of exemptions and a lack of clearly written and communicated procedures result in up to 20% of billed accounts believed to be unmetered by exemption; or the water utility is in transition to becoming fully metered, and a large number of customers remain unmetered. A rough estimate of the annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Water utility policy of metering and volume b established exemption portion of accounts sur- buildings. As many ar accounts are unmete exemption or mete difficulties. Only a gro annual consumption fo accounts is included water audit, with no individual unmetere

	-					
	7	8	9	10		
	data is collected and data is reviewed and h business day.	to qualify for 10: Conduct accountability checks to cor metered flow data is reviewed and co day by the utility selling the water. accuracy tests and data corrections s sharing between the utility and the purc a schedule for a regular review and upo language in the written agreements with at least every five ye	nfirm that all exported rrected each business Results of all meter should be available for hasing Utility. Establish dating of the contractual the purchasing utilities;	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters; work with the purchasing utilities to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with the purchasing utilities open and maintain productive relations. Keep the written agreement current with clear and explicit language that meets the ongoing needs of all parties.		
omers with volume- n meter reads; aining accounts is customer meter st 80% customer success rate; counts with failed Good customer , but only limited ing is conducted. t is conducted for Computerized ith annual auditing cs conducted by sonnel.	Conditions between 6 and 8	At least 97% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 90% customer meter reading success rate; <u>or</u> at least 80% read success rate with planning and budgeting for trials of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) in one or more pilot areas. Good customer meter records. Regular meter accuracy testing guides replacement of statistically significant number of meters each year. Routine auditing of computerized billing records for global and detailed statistics occurs annually by utility personnel, and is verified by third party at least once every five years.	Conditions between 8 and 10	At least 99% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 95% customer meter reading success rate; <u>or</u> minimum 80% meter reading success rate, with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) trials underway. Statistically significant customer meter testing and replacement program in place on a continuous basis. Computerized billing with routine, detailed auditing, including field investigation of representative sample of accounts undertaken annually by utility personnel. Audit is conducted by third party auditors at least once every three years.		
ading success rate iveness of Automa Metering Infrastruct stem; <u>or</u> otherwise nual meter reading meter accuracy tes goals based upon a diting of detailed b	ture (AMI) system for	to qualify for 10; Purchase and install meters on unmete Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Infrastructure (AMI) system trials if m success rate of at least 99% is not ach program. Continue meter accuracy tes planning and budgeting for large scal based upon meter life cycle analysis target. Continue annual detailed billing personnel and conduct third party audi three years.	to maintain 10: Continue annual internal billing data auditing, and third party auditing at least every three years. Continue customer meter accuracy testing to ensure that accurate customer meter readings are obtained and entered as the basis for volume based billing. Stay abreast of improvements in Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) and Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and information management. Plan and budget for justified upgrades in metering, meter reading and billing data management to maintain very high accuracy in customer metering and billing.			
y <u>does</u> require a based billing but bitons exist for a such as municipal as 15% of billed tered due to this ter installation proup estimate of for all unmetered ad in the annual to inspection of pred accounts.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. However, less than 5% of billed accounts remain unmetered because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal is to minimize the number of unmetered accounts. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained for these unmetered accounts via site specific estimation methods.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. Less than 2% of billed accounts are unmetered and exist because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal exists to minimize the number of unmetered accounts to the extent that is economical. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained at these accounts via site specific estimation methods.		

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6
Unauthorized consumption:		Extent of unauthorized consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total unauthorized consumption is guesstimated.	Unauthorized consumption is a known occurrence, but its extent is a mystery. There are no requirements to document observed events, but periodic field reports capture some of these occurrences. Total unauthorized consumption is approximated from this limited data.	conditions between 2 and 4	Procedures exist to document some unauthorized consumption such as observed unauthorized fire hydrant openings. Use formulae to quantify this consumption (time running multiplied typical flowrate, multiplied by number of events).	Default value of 0.25% of volume of water supplied is employed	Coherent policies exist of unauthorized consu- than simply fire hydra others await closer Reasonable surve recordkeeping exist for that fall under the pol quantified by inference records
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unauthorized Consumption" component:		to qualify for 5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of volume of water supplied. to qualify for 2: Review utility policy regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fire hydrant openings)	<u>to qualify for 5</u> : Use accepted default of 0.25% of s <u>to qualify for 4</u> : Review utility policy regarding wha considered unauthorized, and consi sample of one such occurrence (e: hydrant openings	at water uses are der tracking a small x: unauthorized fire	to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 0.25% of volume of water supplied as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process.	to qualify for 6 or greater: Finalize policy updates to clearly identify the types of water consumption that are authorized from those usages that fall outside of this policy and are, therefore, unauthorized. Begin to conduct regular field checks. Proceed if the top-down audit already exists and/or a great volume of such use is suspected.	Assess water utility occurrences of unauthor that appropriate pena procedures for dete occurrences of una
Customer metering inaccuracies:	select n/a only if the entire customer population is unmetered. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Customer meters exist, but with unorganized paper records on meters; no meter accuracy testing or meter replacement program for any size of retail meter. Metering workflow is driven chaotically with no proactive management. Loss volume due to aggregate meter inaccuracy is guesstimated.	Poor recordkeeping and meter oversight is recognized by water utility management who has allotted staff and funding resources to organize improved recordkeeping and start meter accuracy testing. Existing paper records gathered and organized to provide cursory disposition of meter population. Customer meters are tested for accuracy only upon customer request.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Reliable recordkeeping exists; meter information is improving as meters are replaced. Meter accuracy testing is conducted annually for a small number of meters (more than just customer requests, but less than 1% of inventory). A limited number of the oldest meters are replaced each year. Inaccuracy volume is largely an estimate, but refined based upon limited testing data.	4 and 6	A reliable electronic system for meters exi population includes a performing meters an with suspect accurac limited, meter accurac meter replacement oc volume is quantified reliable and less c
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer meter inaccuracy volume" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider establishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Gather available meter purchase records. Conduct testing on a small number of meters believed to be the most inaccurate. Review staffing needs of the metering group and budget for necessary resources to better organize meter management.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Implement a reliable record keeping meter histories, preferably using e typically linked to, or part of, the Cus or Customer Information System. Ex testing to a larger group o	electronic methods tomer Billing System pand meter accuracy	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Standardize the procedures for mete an electronic information system. Acc testing and meter replacements guid	er recordkeeping within celerate meter accuracy	Expand annual me statistically significar Expand meter replace significant number of

	7	8	9	10				
st for some forms isumption (more rant misuse) but er evaluation. veillance and for occurrences policy. Volumes ence from these ds.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clear policies and good auditable recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters, illegal bypasses of customer meters); but other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed consumption.		recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters, illegal bypasses of customer meters); but other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed		recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters, illegal bypasses of customer meters); but other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed		Clear policies exist to identify all known unauthorized uses of water. Staff and procedures exist to provide enforcement of policies and detect violations. Each occurrence is recorded and quantified via formulae (estimated time running multiplied by typical flow) or similar methods. All records and calculations should exist in a form that can be audited by a third party.
	on are outlawed, and bed. Create written entation of various	to qualify for 10: Refine written procedures and assign occurrences of unauthorized consur locking devices, monitors and other ter detect and thwart unauthorized	staff to seek out likely nption. Explore new chnologies designed to	to maintain 10: Continue to refine policy and procedures to eliminate any loopholes that allow or tacitly encourage unauthorized consumption. Continue to be vigilant in detection, documentation and enforcement efforts.				
c recordkeeping exists. The meter a mix of new high and dated meters icy. Routine, but racy testing and occur. Inaccuracy d using a mix of certain data.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Testing is conducted on samples of meters of varying age and accumulated volume of throughput to determine optimum replacement time for various types of meters.	Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Statistically significant number of meters are tested in audit year. This testing is conducted on samples of meters of varying age and accumulated volume of throughput to determine optimum replacement time for these meters.	meter number, account number/location, type, size and manufacturer. Ongoing meter replacement occurs according to a targeted and justified basis. Regular meter accuracy testing gives a reliable measure of composite inaccuracy volume for the customer meter population. New metering technology is				
to qualify for 8: neter accuracy testing to evaluate a ant number of meter makes/models. cement program to replace statistically of poor performing meters each year.		to qualify for 9: Continue efforts to manage meter population with reliable recordkeeping. Test a statistically significant number of meters each year and analyze test results in an ongoing manner to serve as a basis for a target meter replacement strategy based upon accumulated volume throughput.	to qualify for 10: Continue efforts to manage meter population with reliable recordkeeping, meter testing and replacement. Evaluate new meter types and install one or more types in 5-10 customer accounts each year in order to pilot improving metering technology.	to maintain 10: Increase the number of meters tested and replaced as justified by meter accuracy test data. Continually monitor development of new metering technology and Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) to grasp opportunities for greater accuracy in metering of water flow and management of customer consumption data.				

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6
Systematic Data Handling Errors:	Note: all water utilities incur some amount of this error. Even in water utilities with unmetered customer populations and fixed rate billing, errors occur in annual billing tabulations. Enter a positive value for the volume and select a grading.	Policies and procedures for activation of new customer water billing accounts are vague and lack accountability. Billing data is maintained on paper records which are not well organized. No auditing is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. An unknown number of customers escape routine billing due to lack of billing process oversight.	Policy and procedures for activation of new customer accounts and oversight of billing records exist but need refinement. Billing data is maintained on paper records or insufficiently capable electronic database. Only periodic unstructured auditing work is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. The volume of unbilled water due to billing lapses is a guess.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Policy and procedures for new account activation and oversight of billing operations exist but needs refinement. Computerized billing system exists, but is dated or lacks needed functionality. Periodic, limited internal audits conducted and confirm with approximate accuracy the consumption volumes lost to billing lapses.		Policy and procedures for activation and oversi- operations is adequate periodically. Comput system is in use with b available. Any effer adjustments on m consumption volum understood. Internal ch data error conducte Reasonably accurate q consumption volume lapses is obta
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Systematic Data Handling Error volume" component:		to qualify for 2: Draft written policy and procedures for activating new water billing accounts and oversight of billing operations. Investigate and budget for computerized customer billing system. Conduct initial audit of billing records by flow-charting the basic business processes of the customer account/billing function.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Finalize written policy and procedures billing accounts and overall billing oper Implement a computerized custom Conduct initial audit of billing recor process.	rations management. her billing system.	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Refine new account activation an procedures and ensure consistency regarding billing, and minimize opportu Upgrade or replace customer billing functionality - ensure that billing adjust value of consumption volumes. Proc audit process.	d billing operations / with the utility policy unity for missed billings. g system for needed ments don't corrupt the	t Formalize regular revie and general billing pract computerized billing s process to reveal sco periodic third party au
					SYSTEM	DATA	
Length of mains:		Poorly assembled and maintained paper as-built records of existing water main installations makes accurate determination of system pipe length impossible. Length of mains is guesstimated.	Paper records in poor or uncertain condition (no annual tracking of installations & abandonments). Poor procedures to ensure that new water mains installed by developers are accurately documented.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Sound written policy and procedures exist for documenting new water main installations, but gaps in management result in a uncertain degree of error in tabulation of mains length.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Sound written policy ar exist for permitting and new water mains. Hig paper records with r validation; or electronic asset management sy condition. Includes sys
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Length of Water Mains" component:		to qualify for 2: Assign personnel to inventory current as-built records and compare with customer billing system records and highway plans in order to verify poorly documented pipelines. Assemble policy documents regarding permitting and documentation of water main installations by the utility and building developers; identify gaps in procedures that result in poor documentation of new water main installations.	Complete inventory of paper reco installations for several years prior to policy and procedures for commission new water main install	audit year. Review and documenting	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Finalize updates/improvements to procedures for permitting/commi installations. Confirm inventory of rec to audit year; correct any error	o written policy and ssioning new main ords for five years prior	taunch random field ch Convert to electronic Information System (GI written p
Number of active AND inactive service connections:		Vague permitting (of new service connections) policy and poor paper recordkeeping of customer connections/billings result in suspect determination of the number of service connections, which may be 10-15% in error from actual count.	General permitting policy exists but paper records, procedural gaps, and weak oversight result in questionable total for number of connections, which may vary 5-10% of actual count.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Written account activation policy and procedures exist, but with some gaps in performance and oversight. Computerized information management system is being brought online to replace dated paper recordkeeping system. Reasonably accurate tracking of service connection installations & abandonments; but count can be up to 5% in error from actual total.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Written new account a overall billing policies an are adequate and periodically. Computeria management system annual installations & a totaled. Very limited fie and audits. Error in cou service connections is no more than
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Number of Active and Inactive Service Connections" component:	Note: The number of Service Connections does <u>not</u> include fire hydrant leads/lines connecting the hydrant to the water main	to qualify for 2: Draft new policy and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations. Research and collect paper records of installations & abandonments for several years prior to audit year.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Refine policy and procedures for new and overall billing operations. Rese recordkeeping system (Customer Inf Customer Billing System) to improve o for service connectio	earch computerized formation System or documentation format	to qualify for 6: Refine procedures to ensure consist activation and overall billing policy to connections or decommission existing process to include all totals for at le audit year.	ency with new account establish new service connections. Improve	te Formalize regular rev overall billing operation random field checks of l reports and auditing information
	Note: if customer water		erties are unmetered, if customer mete n the curb stop or boundary separating			and the typical first point	of use (ex: faucet) or the

	7	8	9	10
es for new account ersight of billing ate and reviewed puterized billing h basic reporting offect of billing n measured lumes is well al checks of billing cted annually. e quantification of ne lost to billing btained.	Conditions between 6 and 8	New account activation and billing operations policy and procedures are reviewed at least biannually. Computerized billing system includes an array of reports to confirm billing data and system functionality. Checks are conducted routinely to flag and explain zero consumption accounts. Annual internal checks conducted with third party audit conducted at least once every five years. Accountability checks flag billing lapses. Consumption lost to billing lapses is well quantified and reducing year-by- year.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound written policy and procedures exist for new account activation and oversight of customer billing operations. Robust computerized billing system gives high functionality and reporting capabilities which are utilized, analyzed and the results reported each billing cycle. Assessment of policy and data handling errors are conducted internally and audited by third party at least once every three years, ensuring consumption lost to billing lapses is minimized and detected as it occurs.
actices. Enhance i g system. Formali scope of data hand	nt activation process reporting capability of ze regular auditing ling error. Plan for ast once every five	to qualify for 10: Close policy/procedure loopholes that accounts to go unbilled, or data han Ensure that billing system reports are reported every billing cycle. Ensure that audits are conducted at least once	dling errors to exist. utilized, analyzed and t internal and third party	to maintain 10: Stay abreast of customer information management developments and innovations. Monitor developments of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and integrate technology to ensure that customer endpoint information is well- monitored and errors/lapses are at an economic minimum.
and procedures and commissioning Highly accurate th regular field pnic records and t system in good system backup.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Sound written policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Electronic recordkeeping such as a Geographical Information System (GIS) and asset management system are used to store and manage data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound written policy exists for managing water mains extensions and replacements. Geographic Information System (GIS) data and asset management database agree and random field validation proves truth of databases. Records of annual field validation should be available for review.
nic database such	as justified. Develop	<u>to qualify for 10</u> : Link Geographic Information Syster management databases, conduct fiel Record field verification information	d verification of data.	to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve the completeness and accuracy of the system.
nt activation and s and procedures nd reviewed erized information em is in use with & abandonments field verifications count of number of is believed to be nan 3%.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Policies and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations are written, well-structured and reviewed at least biannually. Well- managed computerized information management system exists and routine, periodic field checks and internal system audits are conducted. Counts of connections are no more than 2% in error.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound written policy and well managed and audited procedures ensure reliable management of service connection population. Computerized information management system, Customer Billing System, and Geographic Information System (GIS) information agree; field validation proves truth of databases. Count of connections recorded as being in error is less than 1% of the entire population.
	rocedures. Launch of locations. Develop or computerized	to qualify for 10: Close any procedural loopholes that al undocumented. Link computerized info system with Geographic Informatior formalize field inspection and informa processes. Documentation of new or de connections encounters several levels o	<u>to maintain 10:</u> Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.	
		g from the water main to the customer bu Gradings of 1-9 are used to grade the v		Either of two conditions can be met for a grading of 10:

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	2	4	5	6	7	<b>o</b>	0	10
Average length of customer service line:	meters are located outside of the customer building next to the curb stop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility, then the auditor should answer "Yes" to the question on the Reporting Worksheet asking about this. If the answer is Yes, the grading description listed under the Grading of 10(a) will be followed, with a value of zero automatically entered at a Grading of 10. See the Service Connection Diagram worksheet for a visual presentation of this distance.	Vague policy exists to define the delineation of water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curb stops are perceived as the breakpoint but these have not been well-maintained or documented. Most are buried or obscured. Their location varies widely from site-to- site, and estimating this distance is arbitrary due to the unknown location of many curb stops.	Policy requires that the curb stop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. The piping from the water main to the curb stop is the property of the water utility; and the piping from the curb stop to the customer building is owned by the customer. Curb stop locations are not well documented and the average distance is based upon a limited number of locations measured in the field.		Good policy requires that the curb stop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curb stops are generally installed as needed and are reasonably documented. Their location varies widely from site-to- site, and an estimate of this distance is hindered by the availability of paper records of limited accuracy.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Clear written policy exists to define utility/customer responsibility for service connection piping. Accurate, well-maintained paper or basic electronic recordkeeping system exists. Periodic field checks confirm piping lengths for a sample of customer properties.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clearly worded policy standardizes the location of curb stops and meters, which are inspected upon installation. Accurate and well maintained electronic records exist with periodic field checks to confirm locations of service lines, curb stops and customer meter pits. An accurate number of customer properties from the customer billing system allows for reliable averaging of this length.	Conditions between 8 and 10	<ul> <li>a) Customer water meters exist outside of customer buildings next to the curb stop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility for service connection piping. If so, answer "Yes" to the question on the Reporting Working asking about this condition. A value of zero and a Grading of 10 are automatically entered in the Reporting Worksheet .</li> <li>b). Meters exist inside customer buildings, or properties are unmetered. In either case, answer "No" to the Reporting Worksheet question on meter location, and enter a distance determined by the auditor. For a Grading of 10 this value must be a very reliable number from a Geographic Information System (GIS) and confirmed by a statistically valid number of field checks.</li> </ul>
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Average Length of Customer Service Line" component:		<u>to qualify for 2</u> : Research and collect paper records of service line installations. Inspect several sites in the field using pipe locators to locate curb stops. Obtain the length of this small sample of connections in this manner.	to qualify for 4: Formalize and communicate po utility/customer responsibilities for s piping. Assess accuracy of pape inspection of a small sample of servic pipe locators as needed. Research th to a computerized information mana store service connectio	service connection r records by field ce connections using ne potential migration agement system to	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Establish coherent procedures to ens stop, meter installation and document consensus within the water utility for t computerized information mana	ation is followed. Gain the establishment of a	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Implement an electronic means of rec via a customer information system, cus or Geographic Information System (G process to conduct field checks of a locations.	stomer billing system, IS). Standardize the	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Link customer information manag Geographic Information System (GIS), field verification of o	ement system and standardize process for	to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of service connection configurations and customer meter locations.
Average operating pressure:		Available records are poorly assembled and maintained paper records of supply pump characteristics and water distribution system operating conditions. Average pressure is guesstimated based upon this information and ground elevations from crude topographical maps. Widely varying distribution system pressures due to undulating terrain, high system head loss and weak/erratic pressure controls further compromise the validity of the average pressure calculation.	Limited telemetry monitoring of scattered pumping station and water storage tank sites provides some static pressure data, which is recorded in handwritten logbooks. Pressure data is gathered at individual sites only when low pressure complaints arise. Average pressure is determined by averaging relatively crude data, and is affected by significant variation in ground elevations, system head loss and gaps in pressure controls in the distribution system.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Effective pressure controls separate different pressure zones; moderate pressure variation across the system, occasional open boundary valves are discovered that breech pressure zones. Basic telemetry monitoring of the distribution system logs pressure data electronically. Pressure data gathered by gauges or dataloggers at fire hydrants or buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Reliable topographical data exists. Average pressure is calculated using this mix of data.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable pressure controls separate distinct pressure zones; only very occasional open boundary valves are encountered that breech pressure zones. Well-covered telemetry monitoring of the distribution system (not just pumping at source treatment plants or wells) logs extensive pressure data electronically. Pressure gathered by gauges/dataloggers at fire hydrants and buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Average pressure is determined by using this mix of reliable data.	6 and 8	Well-managed, discrete pressure zones exist with generally predictable pressure fluctuations. A current full- scale SCADA System or similar realtime monitoring system exists to monitor the water distribution system and collect data, including real time pressure readings at representative sites across the system. The average system pressure is determined from reliable monitoring system data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Well-managed pressure districts/zones, SCADA System and hydraulic model exist to give very precise pressure data across the water distribution system. Average system pressure is reliably calculated from extensive, reliable, and cross-checked data. Calculations are reported on an annual basis as a minimum.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Average Operating Pressure" component:		<u>to qualify for 2</u> : Employ pressure gauging and/or datalogging equipment to obtain pressure measurements from fire hydrants. Locate accurate topographical maps of service area in order to confirm ground elevations. Research pump data sheets to find pump pressure/flow characteristics	to qualify for 4: Formalize a procedure to us gauging/datalogging equipment to g during various system events such complaints, or operational testing. Ga and flow data at different flow regin pressure controls (pressure reduci valves, partially open boundary valves configure pressure zones. Make all these efforts available to generate sy pressure.	ather pressure data in as low pressure ather pump pressure nes. Identify faulty ing valves, altitude ) and plan to properly pressure data from	to qualify for 6: Expand the use of pressure gauging/ to gather scattered pressure data at sites, based upon pressure zones of pressure and flow data to determine each pressure zone or district. Corre controls (pressure reducing valves, a open boundary valves) to ensure p pressure zones. Use expanded press activities to generate system-wide	a representative set of r areas. Utilize pump supply head entering ect any faulty pressure lititude valves, partially properly configured ure dataset from these	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Install a Supervisory Control and Data System, or similar realtime monitoring system parameters and control oper calibration schedule for instrumenta accuracy. Obtain accurate topograph pressure data gathered from field s extensive, reliable data for press	y system, to monitor ations. Set regular tion to insure data hical data and utilize surveys to provide	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Annually, obtain a system-wide avera the hydraulic model of the distribution calibrated via field measurements in system and confirmed in comparison data.	ge pressure value from system that has been the water distribution	<u>to maintain 10</u> : Continue to refine the hydraulic model of the distribution system and consider linking it with SCADA System for real- time pressure data calibration, and averaging.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					COST D	ATA					
Total annual cost of operating water system:		Incomplete paper records and lack of financial accounting documentation on many operating functions makes calculation of water system operating costs a pure guesstimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to estimate the major portion of water system operating costs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. However, gaps in data are known to exist, periodic internal reviews are conducted but not a structured financial audit.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited periodically by utility personnel, but not a Certified Public Accountant (CPA).	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited at least annually by utility personnel, and at least once every three years by third- party CPA.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited annually by utility personnel and annually also by third- party CPA.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Total Annual Cost of Operating the Water System" component:		<u>to qualify for 2</u> : Gather available records, institute new financial accounting procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data of most important operations functions.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Implement an electronic cost acc structured according to accounting s utilities		<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Establish process for periodic interna operating costs; identify cost data procedures for tracking these o	a gaps and institute	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Standardize the process to conduct routi an annual basis. Arrange for CPA audit at least once every three y	of financial records	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Standardize the process to conduct a t by a CPA on an annua	hird-party financial audit	to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and long-term cost trend, and budget/track costs proactively
Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses):	Customer population unmetered, and/or only a fixed fee is charged for consumption.	Antiquated, cumbersome water rate structure is used, with periodic historic amendments that were poorly documented and implemented; resulting in classes of customers being billed inconsistent charges. The actual composite billing rate likely differs significantly from the published water rate structure, but a lack of auditing leaves the degree of error indeterminate.	Dated, cumbersome water rate structure, not always employed consistently in actual billing operations. The actual composite billing rate is known to differ from the published water rate structure, and a reasonably accurate estimate of the degree of error is determined, allowing a composite billing rate to be quantified.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Straight-forward water rate structure in use, but not updated in several years. Billing operations reliably employ the rate structure. The composite billing rate is derived from a single customer class such as residential customer accounts, neglecting the effect of different rates from varying customer classes.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Clearly written, up-to-date water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average residential rate using volumes of water in each rate block.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Effective water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average composite consumption rate, which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and any other distinct customer classes within the water rate structure.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Current, effective water rate structure is in force and applied reliably in billing operations. The rate structure and calculations of composite rate - which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and other distinct customer classes - are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology at least once every five years.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer Retail Unit Cost" component:		to qualify for 2: Formalize the process to implement water rates, including a secure documentation procedure. Create a current, formal water rate document and gain approval from all stakeholders.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Review the water rate structure and needed. Assess billing operations to billing operations incorporate the est structure.	ensure that actual	<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Evaluate volume of water used in each usage block by residential users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.	Launch effort to fully meter the customer population and charge rates based upon water volumes	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Evaluate volume of water used in each classifications of users. Multiply volu structure.		<u>to qualify for 10</u> Conduct a periodic third-party audit usage block by all classifications of use full rate structure	of water used in each ers. Multiply volumes by	to maintain 10: Keep water rate structure current in addressing the water utility's revenue needs. Update the calculation of the customer unit rate as new rate components, customer classes, or other components are modified.
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	Note: if the water utility purchases/imports its entire water supply, then enter the unit purchase cost of the bulk water supply in the Reporting Worksheet with a grading of 10	Incomplete paper records and lack of documentation on primary operating functions (electric power and treatment costs most importantly) makes calculation of variable production costs a pure guesstimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to roughly estimate the basic operations costs (pumping power costs and treatment costs) and calculate a unit variable production cost.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. Electric power and treatment costs are reliably tracked and allow accurate weighted calculation of unit variable production costs based on these two inputs and water imported purchase costs (if applicable). All costs are audited internally on a periodic basis.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Pertinent additional costs beyond power, treatment and water imported purchase costs (if applicable) such as liability, residuals management, wear and tear on equipment, impending expansion of supply, are included in the unit variable production cost, as applicable. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (if applicable) costs tracked. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel, and at least once every three years by a third-party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.	Conditions between 8 and 10	<ul> <li>Either of two conditions can be met to obtain a grading of 10:</li> <li>1) Third party CPA audit of all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (if applicable) costs on an annual basis. or:</li> <li>2) Water supply is entirely purchased as bulk imported water, and unit purchase cost serves as the variable production cost.</li> </ul>
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Variable Production Cost" component:		<u>to qualify for 2</u> : Gather available records, institute new procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data and most important operations functions.	<u>to qualify for 4</u> : Implement an electronic cost acc structured according to accounting s utilities		<u>to qualify for 6</u> : Formalize process for regular interna costs. Assess whether additional co management, equipment wear, imp expansion) should be included to representative variable pro-	osts (liability, residuals bending infrastructure calculate a more	<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Formalize the accounting process to in components (power, treatment) as we components (liability, residuals manager to conduct audits by a knowledgeable once every three years	ell as indirect cost ment, etc.) Arrange third-party at least	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Standardize the process to conduct a t by a CPA on an annua	hird-party financial audit	to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and budget/track costs proactively

		Determining W	ater Loss Standing		American Water Works Associati Copyright © 2014, All Rights Reserv			
Water Audit Report for:Los Alamos County (NM3500115)Reporting Year:2023Data Validity Score:72								
Water Loss Control Planning Guide								
		Water A	Audit Data Validity Level	/ Score				
Functional Focus Area	Level I (0-25)	Level II (26-50)	Level III (51-70)	<b>Level IV</b> (71-90)	<b>Level V</b> (91-100)			
Audit Data Collection	Launch auditing and loss control team; address production metering deficiencies	Analyze business process for customer metering and billing functions and water supply operations. Identify data gaps.	Establish/revise policies and procedures for data collection	Refine data collection practices and establish as routine business process	Annual water audit is a reliable gauge of year-to-year water efficiency standing			
Short-term loss control	Research information on leak detection programs. Begin flowcharting analysis of customer billing system	Conduct loss assessment investigations on a sample portion of the system: customer meter testing, leak survey, unauthorized consumption, etc.	Establish ongoing mechanisms for customer meter accuracy testing, active leakage control and infrastructure monitoring	Refine, enhance or expand ongoing programs based upon economic justification	Stay abreast of improvements metering, meter reading, billing leakage management and infrastructure rehabilitation			
_ong-term loss control		Begin to assess long-term needs requiring large expenditure: customer meter replacement, water main replacement program, new customer billing system or Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system.	Begin to assemble economic business case for long-term needs based upon improved data becoming available through the water audit process.	Conduct detailed planning, budgeting and launch of comprehensive improvements for metering, billing or infrastructure management	Continue incremental improvements in short-term an long-term loss control interventions			
Target-setting			Establish long-term apparent and real loss reduction goals (+10 year horizon)	Establish mid-range (5 year horizon) apparent and real loss reduction goals	Evaluate and refine loss contro goals on a yearly basis			
Benchmarking			Preliminary Comparisons - can begin to rely upon the Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) for performance comparisons for real losses (see below table)	Performance Benchmarking - ILI is meaningful in comparing real loss standing	Identify Best Practices/ Best in class - the ILI is very reliable a a real loss performance indicat for best in class service			

Once data have been entered into the Reporting Worksheet, the performance indicators are automatically calculated. How does a water utility operator know how well his or her system is performing? The AWWA Water Loss Control Committee provided the following table to assist water utilities is gauging an approximate Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) that is appropriate for their water system and local conditions. The lower the amount of leakage and real losses that exist in the system, then the lower the ILI value will be.

Note: this table offers an approximate guideline for leakage reduction target-setting. The best means of setting such targets include performing an economic assessment of various loss control methods. However, this table is useful if such an assessment is not possible.

General Guidelines for Setting a Target ILI (without doing a full economic analysis of leakage control options)							
Target ILI Range	Financial Considerations	Operational Considerations	Water Resources Considerations				
1.0 - 3.0	purchase; ability to increase revenues via water	Operating with system leakage above this level would require expansion of existing infrastructure and/or additional water resources to meet the demand.	Available resources are greatly limited and are very difficult and/or environmentally unsound to develop.				
>3.0 -5.0	Water resources can be developed or purchased at reasonable expense; periodic water rate increases can be feasibly imposed and are tolerated by the customer population.	Existing water supply infrastructure capability is sufficient to meet long-term demand as long as reasonable leakage management controls are in place.	Water resources are believed to be sufficient to meet long-term needs, but demand management interventions (leakage management, water conservation) are included in the long-term				
	Cost to purchase or obtain/treat water is low, as are rates charged to customers.	Superior reliability, capacity and integrity of the water supply infrastructure make it relatively immune to supply shortages.	Water resources are plentiful, reliable, and easily extracted.				
	Greater than 8.0 Although operational and financial considerations may allow a long-term ILI greater than 8.0, such a level of leakage is not an effective utilization of water as a resource. Setting a target level greater than 8.0 - other than as an incremental goal to a smaller long-term target - is discouraged.						
Less than 1.0 If the calculated Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) value for your system is 1.0 or less, two possibilities exist. a) you are maintaining your leakage at low levels in a class with the top worldwide performers in leakage control. b) A portion of your data may be flawed, causing your losses to be greatly understated. This is likely if you calculate a low ILI value but do not employ extensive leakage control practices in your operations. In such cases it is beneficial to validate the data by performing field measurements to confirm the accuracy of production and customer meters, or to identify any other potential sources of error in the data.							